

REMARKS

The last Office Action has been carefully considered.

It is noted that the claims are rejected only under 35 U.S.C.
112.

Also, the Examiner renumbered the claims.

In connection with the Examiner's renumbering of the claims, it is respectfully submitted that in this application in the Preliminary Amendment claims 7 and 8 were added. Then, the claims presented in the Supplemental Preliminary Amendment were numbered as claims 9-11. Thus, claims 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 were pending in the application before the last Office Action.

With the present Amendment applicant additionally cancelled claim 3. Thus, the present application now contains 1, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11.

The Examiner indicated that in the specification there is no disclosure for a feeding angle or a pivoting angle. With the present communication applicant has amended the claims to remove these terms.


As for the term "floatingly supported" this term was removed before the Office Action.

It is therefore believed that the Examiner's grounds for the formal objection of the claims should be considered as no longer tenable and should be withdrawn.

Reconsideration and allowance of the present application is most respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner require or consider it advisable that the specification, claims and/or drawings be further amended or corrected in formal aspects in order to place this case in condition for final allowance, then it is respectfully requested that such amendments or corrections be carried out by Examiner's Amendment, and the case be passed to issue. Alternatively, should the Examiner feel that a personal discussion might be helpful in advancing this case to allowance, he is invited to telephone the undersigned at (631-549-4700).

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Striker
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 27233